

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/457,842	12/09/1999	SATORU SAWADA	12924(JA998-	8134	
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			SHERR, CRISTINA O		
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/457,842	SAWADA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Cristina Owen Sherr	3621

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband dment, affidavit, or other evidence, value fee) in compliance with 37 CFR de reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		to the final actualities who	:-b			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time pe	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	is of the date of filing	the Notice of			
AMENDMENTS						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			(DTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		الله المستمل على المستمل	at he entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	out door NOT place the application	in condition for allows	ince hecalise.			
			ilice because.			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		11/11/1				
		ie s P. Trammed Ory Patent Examii				
	OO! FIJAIO	OUT IVIEIAL EVAIAIL	VEN /			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new matter requiring new search and would be more appropriately presented in a RCE.